

Safety Research Report Index - Ford Park-to-Reverse

A. BACKGROUND

1. "Ford-Transmissions," Automobile Design Liability, 2nd Edition, (Lawyers Co-operative Publishing Company) 1983
2. "Running in Reverse," Mother Jones, June 1980
3. "Ford Changing Suspicious Transmission [on 1980 models]," Detroit Free Press, 2/2/79
4. "Troubled Ford Faces Biggest Recall Ever," WALL STREET JOURNAL, 7/18/80
5. "Coleman for the Defense: Ford Selects Heavyweight," Legal Times, 9/8/80
6. "Ford Car Kills Woman on Day U.S. Drops Probe," NEW YORK NEWS, 1/2/81
7. "Ford Paying Millions in Suits," New York Times, 2/13/83
8. "Professor Shifting Gears on Ford Motor Company," Washington Post, 4/25/83
9. "Car Defect Killing More, Consumer Group Says," BATON ROUGE MORNING ADVOCATE, 12/31/84
10. "Ford Faces Class Action by 9 Million," WASHINGTON TIMES, 10/13/85
11. "'Park-to-Reverse' Deaths in Ford Cars Are Up, GAO Says," New Orleans Times-Picayune, 8/12/85
12. "Fords in Reverse (Why No Recall?)," Consumer Reports, September 1985
13. "Out of Control On and On Grings Fight Over Old Fords That Slip Into Reverse," Wall Street Journal, 4/14/88.

B. ATTORNEYS

C. EXPERTS

D. LAWSUITS

1. List of suits filed
2. List of C-6 suits only
3. CAS survey, settlements and awards
4. Nowak v. Ford, Texas Court of Appeals
5. List of jury verdicts won and lost
6. CAS report, "Transmission Lawsuits Against Ford Skyrocket to \$1.6 Billion," 4/25/85

E. INTERNAL DOCUMENTS

1. "Design Changes Rejected [in Ford Transmissions]," DETROIT FREE PRESS, 9/5/78; Ford memos of 12/8/71 & 1/13/72 discussed in article.
2. Set of internal memos, 1970-78
3. Depositions of Ford employees Shaw and Guterman, re: memos in #E1; index to Guterman depositions
4. Ford rebuttal to Dixon memos
5. Testimony (portion), expert Simon Tammy, re: memos in #E3
6. C-6 "self-centering" memos, 1967-69, and Ford rebuttal
7. "Lee Carr Data Base," description/excerpt

F. DOT DOCUMENTS

1. IIHS to NHTSA requesting investigation and citing early lawsuits, 6/18/76
2. CAS to NHTSA requesting investigation, 7/6/77; NHTSA to CAS, 7/27/77
3. NHTSA to Ford, information request, 7/20/77
4. Ford to NHTSA, response to 7/20/77 letter, 9/7/77; Attachments I, IV, V
5. CAS to NHTSA requesting investigation be expanded to include Ford vans and trucks, 10/31/77; NHTSA response, 11/15/77
6. NHTSA to Ford re: vans, trucks, pre-1972 Fords, 11/30/77
7. Ford to NHTSA, partial response to 11/30/77 letter, 1/13/78; Attachments I, IV, V, VII
8. Ford to NHTSA, further response to 11/30/77 letter, 1/30/78; Attachments III, IV
9. Ford to NHTSA further response to 11/30/77 letter, 2/15/78; Attachment IV
10. NHTSA to Ford re: FMX transmission, 3/14/78
11. NHTSA to Ford re: false detent/linkage binding problems, 4/17/78
12. Ford to NHTSA, response to 3/14/78 letter, 4/19/78; Attachments I, IIIb, IV through VII
13. Ford to NHTSA, response to 4/17/78 letter, 5/25/78
14. "Ford Engineer Hurt as Car Slips Into Reverse While Idling," Detroit Free Press, 6/5/78; NHTSA/Ford correspondence relating to accident
15. CAS to NHTSA urging recall, 7/26/78; NHTSA to CAS, response, 10/16/78
16. NHTSA Consumer Advisory, 8/29/78
17. CAS to NHTSA, petition to expand investigation to include C-3, C-4 transmission, 1/12/79; NHTSA to CAS, granting petition, 3/19/79
18. CAS to NHTSA re: delays in C8-02, 6/21/79; NHTSA to CAS, response, 7/20/79
19. CAS to NHTSA, 49 new accidents with 35 injuries, 13 deaths, demand for explanation of investigation delay, 3/31/80; NHTSA to CAS, response, 4/18/80
20. CAS to NHTSA, petition for discharge of decision, 4/11/80
21. Complaint in CAS v. Claybrook, seeking order that investigation be completed within 30 days, 5/29/80
22. NHTSA memo, "Reported Fatalities, C8-02," 6/9/80
23. NHTSA to Ford, initial defect determination, 6/9/80; news releases, 6/11/80
24. NHTSA Investigative Report, 6/11/80
25. Ford Preliminary Comments on NHTSA Investigative Report, 7/7/80
26. NHTSA rebuttal to Ford Preliminary Comments, 8/20/80
27. Claybrook opening statement, witness agenda at NHTSA public hearing on C8-02, 8/20/80
28. CAS statement at 8/21/80 hearing
29. CAS to NHTSA, rebuttal to statements by Ford attorney Coleman, 8/26/80
30. Ford to NHTSA, post-hearing submissions, 9/80 and 10/80
31. NHTSA Administrator Claybrook to DOT Secretary, recommending recall, 10/3/80
32. DOT-Ford Settlement Agreement Correspondence, 12/80
33. C8-02 Final Report (Claybrook's Final Memo), 1/19/81, including additional information on Ford design changes
34. Nader to NHTSA, petition to reopen investigation, 6/16/81; NHTSA to Nader, denial, 10/17/81
35. CAS to NHTSA, petition for reconsideration of denial of Nader petition, 2/17/82; NHTSA to CAS, denial, 6/18/82
36. NHTSA to Ford, regarding 30 P-R fatalities on Lee Carr data base, 1/18/83; Ford to NHTSA, response, 1/31/83
37. Ford to NHTSA, partial response to 5/27/83 letter regarding personal injury and property damage accidents, 6/10/83
38. Ford to NHTSA, further response to 5/27/83 letter, including list of all personal injury and property damage accidents, 6/17/83
39. NHTSA to Ford, information request, 3/27/84
40. Ford to NHTSA, partial response to 3/27/84 IR, 4/18/84
41. Ford to NHTSA, further response to 3/27/84 IR, 5/11/84
42. NHTSA to Ford, information request, 10/11/84
43. Ford to NHTSA, partial response to 10/11/84 IR, 11/9/84
44. Ford to NHTSA, further response to 10/11/84 IR, 12/6/84
45. CAS to NHTSA, petition for investigation and recall, 1966 to mid-80 Ford automatics, 3/6/85
46. NHTSA to Ford, information request, 3/18/85; revised, 4/8/85
47. Ford to NHTSA, partial response to 4/8/85 IR, 4/22/85
48. Ford to NHTSA, further response to 4/8/85 IR, 5/6/85; Attachments III through VI (samples from Ford non-fatal reports data base of 19,000+ incidents)
49. Ford to NHTSA, final response to 4/8/85 IR, 5/15/85; Attachments I, II, IV and VI
50. CAS to NHTSA, supplement to petition, 5/24/85
51. NHTSA to CAS, petition denial, 7/12/85
52. NHTSA/ODI Staff Report on CAS petition, 7/3/85
53. CAS to NHTSA, 12/10/88; NHTSA response, 2/16/89
54. NHTSA PE91-127; 1986-90 Ford Aerostar
 - a. Resume, 9/16/91

- b. NHTSA to Ford
- c. Ford, Notice of Recall, 10/30/91
- d. Mazda, Notice of Need to Repair, 10/30/91
- e. Closing Resume, 11/8/91

G. CENTER FOR AUTO SAFETY

- 1. CAS consumer advisory, 3/22/78
- 2. CAS to Wisc. Attorney General, asking for criminal prosecution of Ford in Cannon fatality, 4/18/80; Wisc. A.G. to CAS, reply 4/29/80
- 3. Ralph Nader to Ford, urging full recall, citing GM and Chrysler analyses of Ford design, 6/13/80; attachments: GM and Chrysler memos
- 4. CAS to Ford Attorney (former DOT Secretary) William Coleman, asking that he disqualify himself from representing Ford, 7/17/80
- 5. Complaint in CAS v. Lewis, 3/6/81
- 6. Campaign Ford Recall to Ford, 11/27/81
- 7. Fourth District Court Decision
- 8. Court of Appeals decision in CAS v. Lewis, 8/10/82
- 9. "Fords Still Biggest Killer," CAS report on Ford warning label program, 12/31/84
- 10. Complaint from CAS v. Dole, filed 9/9/85
- 11. CAS v. Dole, 828 F.2d 799 (CADC 1987) (original decision).
- 12. CAS v. Dole, 846 F.2d 1532 (CADC 1988) (decision on rehearing).

H. CONGRESS

- 1. Congressional hearing, 7/27/83, selected materials
- 2. CAS testimony before House Subcommittee on Telecommunication, Consumer Affairs and Finance, hearing on Ford transmission case, 7/27/83
- 3. GAO testimony before House Subcommittee on Telecommunication, Consumer Affairs and Finance, hearing on Ford transmission case, 8/1/85
- 4. GAO report, "Effectiveness of Ford Transmission Settlement Still at Issue," 6/86

I. TECHNICAL MATERIALS

- 1. "Automatic Transmission Park Function Analysis," paper presented by Mel Richardson on Ford P-R defect before Automatic Transmission Workshop, 10/81
- 2. Excerpts, Ford shop manuals (automatic transmission portions); codes used to identify transmission types (see 1977 manual excerpt)
- 3. Testimony excerpt of expert witness Derwyn Severy in a P-R case
- 4. Deposition (portion), Lee Carr, disagreeing with Ford's predictions that stickers will reduce P-R incidents, 3/14/83