

## A. BACKGROUND

1. "Seat Belts Dangerous, Defective, Report Says," Washington Post, 12/3/79
2. "Passive-Restraint Changes Proposed," 4/15/85; "Makers Get a Break on Passive Restraints," 3/31/86, Automotive News
3. "How Safe Are Seat Belts?" Auto Week, 1/13/86
4. "Tighten Up, Your Seat Belt Could Be Hazardous"; "Seat Belts Near Top of Recall List," Cleveland Plain Dealer, 1/26/86
5. "GM's Seat Belt Pledge Costs Firm \$2.4 Million," Washington Post, 1/86; "GM's Putting Its Money Where Its Mouth Is: Seat-Belt-User Insurance," GM Public Affairs Newsletter, 2/85
6. "NHTSA Urged to Require 3-point Belts in Rear Seats," Automotive News, 8/18/86
7. "Lap/Shoulder Belts Inadequate," Motor Voter Press, 1986
8. "Slack Thinking in Detroit," Car and Driver, June 1987
9. "Shoulder Belt Slack: A Critical Loophole in Motorists' Protection," IHS Status Report, 6/27/87
10. "Seat Belt Slack: Comfort Device in U.S. Cars Raises Safety Concern," Wall Street Journal, 7/31/87
11. "Car Makers Face Lawsuits Alleging Rear Seat Belts Aren't Safe Enough," Wall Street Journal, 1/6/88
12. "Some Seat Belts Found Inferior (or Lethal)," New York Times, 10/22/88.
13. "More Than a Case About a Car: An Analysis of Garrett v. Ford Motor Company," Trial, 2/89
14. "Lap Seat Belts: How Safe Are They?" Dillsburg PA Banner, 6/8/89
15. "BOHLIN Inventor of Lap-Shoulder Belt Recalls Tough Fight for Adoption in US Cars," Automotive News, 11/6/89
16. "Redesign Seat Belts at Shoulder Strap, Auto Industry Told," Chicago Tribune, 12/30/90
17. AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety Press Release, "AAA Foundations Studies Patterns of Injuries to Belted Vehicle Occupants," 5/92.
18. Jury Hits GM With \$3.2 Million Award. Rear Lap Belt Cited, Automotive News, 8/24/92.
19. Seat Belt Litigation, Trial, 2/93.
20. Safety Concerns Prompt Recall Of Seat Belts, The Washington Post, 5/22/95.
21. Women Recover...For Seat Belts Inertial Release In Collision, Law Reporter, 2/96.
22. Chrysler Belt Inquiry Expands, Automotive News, 3/4/96.
23. Safety: Battling For High Ground. Cirrus Recall Order Triggers Standoff Over Regulations, Automotive News, 6/10/96.
24. An Invisible Killer: Inertial Release In Seat Belt Buckles, Trial, 2/97.

## B. HISTORY OF SEAT BELTS

1. "Safety Study: Performance of Lap Belts in 26 Frontal Crashes," chronology of seat belt events, NTSB, 1986
2. "Manufacturers' Commitments on Seat Belts," NHTSA, 6/14/77
3. "Unwarranted Delays By Department of Transportation to Improve Light Truck Safety," GAO, 7/6/78 (excerpt)
4. Summary of General Motors' role in forming public opinion on seat belt use from 1945-1985
5. "If You See An Accident Coming!" (occupant behavior to reduce injury) General Motors, 11/69
6. Letter from NHTSA Administrator Claybrook to vehicle manufacturers urging improved restraints, 11/28/80

## C. ATTORNEYS

## D. EXPERTS

## E. FEDERAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS 208-210 RULEMAKING

1. FMVSS 208 (Occupant Protection): Rulemaking History
2. FMVSS 208 (Occupant Protection)
3. FMVSS 209 (Seat Belt Assemblies): Rulemaking History
4. FMVSS 209 (Seat Belt Assembly)
5. FMVSS 210 (Seat Belt Anchorages): Rulemaking History
6. FMVSS 210 (Seat Belt Anchorages)
7. NPRM (barrier dynamic testing), 34 FR 20211, 12/24/69; Ford Comment Opposing Dynamic Testing, 4/7/70
8. NPRM (lap-shoulder belts, dynamic testing), 35 FR 14941, 9/25/70
9. Final Rule (lap-shoulder belts, dynamic testing, injury criteria for dynamic testing), 36 FR 4600, 3/10/71
10. ANPRM (Comfort-Convenience), 41 FR 54961, 12/16/76; Comment by Allstate Insurance Co., 4/14/77 and summary of comments received by NHTSA, 8/4/77
11. Volkswagen letter to NHTSA (Exemption from Lateral Crash Test Standard for Passive Seat Belts), 7/7/77; NHTSA response, 8/1/77; NHTSA memo, 9/22/77
12. NPRM (Comfort-Convenience Improvements), 44 FR 77210, 12/31/79
13. Final Rule (Comfort-Convenience Improvements), 46 FR 2064, 1/8/81
14. Final Regulatory Evaluation (Delay of Comfort-Convenience Requirements), 5/83
15. Final Rule (Passive Restraint Phase-in for 1987-90 Passenger Cars), 49 FR 28962, 7/17/84
16. NPRM (Comfort-Convenience Criteria), 50 FR 14580, 4/12/85
17. NPRM (Crash Criteria, Dynamic Testing), 50 FR 14589, 4/12/85
18. NPRM (Dynamic Test Dummies), 50 FR 14602, 4/12/85
19. Final Rule (Dynamic Testing), 55 FR 9800, 3/21/86
20. Final Rule (Temporary Exemption for Convertibles), 51 FR 7028, 10/17/86
21. Final Rule (Convertibles after 1989), 52 FR 10125, 3/30/87
22. ANPRM (Rear Shoulder Belts), 52 FR 22818, 6/16/87
23. Interpretive Opinion (Manufacturer/Dealer Obligations During Automotive Restraint Phase-In), 52 FR 23250, 6/18/87
24. Petition for Rear Impact Test of Seatbelts & Slingshot Effect, 3/3/88; NHTSA response, 4/4/88; Horkey to NHTSA, 5/3/88; NHTSA response, attachments, 6/1/88; NHTSA response, 7/24/89. see also E31.
25. NPRM (Slack in Passenger-Side Belts on Driver-Side Airbag Cars), 53 FR 25354, 7/6/88
26. NPRM (Rear Lap/Shoulder Belt Installation in Passenger Cars, Light Trucks, and MPV's), 53 FR 47982, 11/29/88
27. Request for Information from Vehicle Manufacturers (Rear Lap/Shoulder Retrofit Kits), 53 FR 51621, 12/22/88
28. Final Rule (Rear Lap/Shoulder Belt Installation in Passenger Cars), 54 FR 25275, 6/14/89
29. Final Rule (Tension Relievers in Airbag Cars; Adjustable Anchors for Belts), 54 FR 29045, 7/11/89
30. Response to Petition for Reconsideration; NPRM (Requirements for Belt Systems in Vehicles with GVWR more than 10,000 lbs.), 54 FR 29069, 7/11/89
31. Request for Comments (Interaction of Seatbacks and Belts in Rear Impacts), 54 FR 40896, 10/4/89
32. Final Rule (Rear Lap/Shoulder Belts in Convertibles, Light Trucks and MPV's), 54 FR 46257, 11/2/89
33. NPRM (Automatic Restraints for Light Trucks, MPVs and Buses), 55 FR 747, 1/9/90
34. NPRM ("Dynamic" Standard Belts Exemption from "Static" Standard), 55 FR 1681, 1/18/90
35. Final Rule (Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages), 55 FR 17970, 4/30/90
36. Final Rule (Extension to Light Trucks), 56 FR 12472, 3/26/91
37. NPRM (Lap Belts Lockability), 56 FR 63914, 12/6/91
38. Petition to Prevent Inertial Unlatching, 9/11/92; Comments by Hoar & Associates, 9/17/92 (see also G14).
39. NPRM (Compliance Test Dummy) 57 FR 58437, 12/10/92
40. NPRM (Neck Injury Criteria) 57 FR 58444, 12/10/92.
41. Final Rule (Mandatory Airbags for Cars, Light Trucks, Vans) 58 FR 46551, 9/2/93.
42. Final Rule (Lap Belts and Child Safety Seats), 58 FR 52922, 10/13/93.
43. Final Rule (Compliance Testing of FMVSS 208) 58 FR 59189, 11/8/93.
44. Preliminary Regulatory Evaluation (Improved Design for Safety Belts) 12/93
45. Final Rule (Neck Injury Criteria), 59 FR 18091, 4/15/94.
46. NPRM (Seat Belt Adjustors) 59 FR 21740, 4/26/94.

47. Final Rule (Seat Belt Adjustors), 59 FR 39472, 8/3/94.
48. Final Rule (Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages) 60 FR 3774, 1/19/95.
49. NPRM (Seat Belt Anchorages) 61 FR 24265, 5/14/96.
50. NPRM (Partial Ejection of Crash Test Dummy), 61 FR 45927, 8/30/96.
51. Final Rule, (Hybrid II Test), 61 FR 67953, 12/26/96.

## F. CENTER FOR AUTO SAFETY

1. Seat belt recalls 1966-96
2. NHTSA seat belt investigations
3. **IMPACT & LEMON TIMES** seat belt articles (1976-1996)
4. Petition to NHTSA on 208 (rear seat belts: 3-point requirement, improved convenience), 11/15/73
5. Comment to NHTSA Docket 74-14, Notice 1 (dynamic testing, rear seat belt improvements), 4/29/74
6. Letter to NHTSA Administrator Gregory (1974 Ford Pinto belts), 5/2/74
7. Comment to NHTSA Docket 74-14, Notice 7 (comfort-convenience, dynamic testing, durability), 4/15/77
8. Comment to NHTSA Docket 72-23, Notice 4 (seat belt anchorages), 6/23/78
9. Comment to NHTSA Docket 78-07 (improved seat belt standards), 6/13/78 (excerpts)
10. Letter to NHTSA Administrator Claybrook, MVMA President Adduci and American Seat Belt Council President Pulley, (seat belt defect study), 11/29/79; and NHTSA's response, 2/23/80
11. Letter to NHTSA Administrator Peck (seat belt defects; comfort-convenience), 5/20/81
12. Letter to NHTSA on Honda Accord short seat belts, 5/16/84; Honda letter to owners, 12/84; NHTSA memo closing Honda investigation, 4/18/85
13. Letter to Office of Occupant Protection Director Brownlee (dealer responses to seat belt defects), 4/9/85
14. Comment to NHTSA Docket 74-14, Notices 37, 38 (dynamic testing of manual belts, neck injury criteria), 5/29/85
15. Letter to NHTSA on Ford Escorts Rear Seat Belt Recall, 6/3/85; Ford Service Bulletin, 1/24/85
16. NHTSA to Toyota requesting voluntary seat belt recall 12/5/85; letter to NHTSA on defective Honda and Toyota seat belts, 12/13/85; NHTSA response, 1/3/86; Toyota letter to NHTSA, 1/28/86
17. Press release & letter to DOT, "Transportation Secretary Dole Supports Seat Belts Laws But Refuses To Recall Defective [Honda and Toyota] Seat Belts," 7/8/86
18. Letter to NTSB on unavailability of rear shoulder belt retrofits, 9/5/86; NTSB response, 10/15/86
19. Letter to DOT Secretary Dole on rear shoulder belts, 4/14/87; DOT response, 4/28/87
20. Comment to NHTSA Docket 87-08, Notice 1 (rear shoulder belts), 8/14/87
21. Letter to NHTSA on unavailability of retrofit rear shoulder belts for 1978-88 mid-size GM cars, 9/9/88 NHTSA response, 11/1/88; CAS response, 11/7/88; GM letter, 3/28/89
22. Comments to NHTSA Docket 87-08, Notice 8 (Locking Mechanisms), 1/21/92.
23. Comments to NHTSA Docket 74-14, Notice 78 (Changes to Injury Criteria in Compliance Testing), 2/8/93.
24. Statement at NHTSA Hearing on Cirrus/Stratus Recall, NHTSA Public Hearing, 2/23/96.
25. Letter to NHTSA on Chrysler misleading NHTSA during its compliance investigation of shoulder belt anchor failure in 1982 Dodge Omni/Plymouth Horizon vehicles (selected attachments), 2/23/97.

## G. MANUFACTURERS' INTERNAL DOCUMENTS

1. Ford memo (protection by 3-point belts), 9/19/67
2. Ford memo (comparing seat belts to air bags), 3/11/68
3. Ford memo (improved seat belt designs), 1968
4. Ford memo, "A Comparison of Long-Range Effectiveness of 1976 Restraint Proposals," 10/26/72
5. Ford memo, "Long-Range Effectiveness of 1976 Restraint Proposals," 6/25/73
6. Ford Service Bulletin (general information), 1/24/85
7. Chevrolet Service Bulletin (seat belt extenders), 4/85

8. Honda Service Bulletin (lifetime guarantee on seat belts), 5/30/86; letter to NHTSA, 4/23/86
9. Chrysler memo (Optional Rear Seat Shoulder Belts), 5/2/86.
10. Chrysler Service Bulletin No. 23-06-87 (availability of retrofit rear shoulder belts for 1977-87 models), 3/16/87
11. GM Parts & Accessories Information Bulletin No. 88-68 (availability of retrofit rear shoulder belts for 1977-88 models), 5/20/88
12. Toyota Service Bulletin, Body 002 (Seat Belt Replacement), 4/12/85
13. Nissan Letter to NTSB (availability of retrofit rear shoulder belts), 11/11/88
14. Takata Patent, 4,575,907 and 4.733,444 (Prevention of Inertial Unlatching), 3/18/86 and 3/29/88

#### **H. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PRESS RELEASES**

1. Dynamic Testing of Seat Belts Proposed, 1970
2. Delay in Passive Restraints, 3/5/71
3. Three-Point Belts and Warning Buzzers, 12/30/71
4. Comfort-Convenience Improvements Proposed, 12/79
5. Revised Occupant Protection Standard, 7/11/84
6. Installation of Rear Shoulder Belts in New Cars, 2/17/88
7. Rear Lap/Shoulder Belt Requirement Proposed, 11/23/88
8. Rear Seat Lap/Shoulder Belt Rule Issued, 6/12/89
9. Rear Seat Lap/Shoulder Belt Rules Issued for Light Trucks, MPVs and Convertibles, 11/1/89
10. Extension to Light Trucks & Vans, 3/21/91
12. Seat Belt Comfort, Convenient, Final Rule, 8/3/94.
14. Initial Defect Determination: 1995 Chrysler Cirrus, Dodge Stratus, 1/23/96
15. Final Defect Determination: 1995 Chrysler Cirrus Dodge Stratus, 6/4/96

#### **I. NHTSA CRASH TESTS**

1. List of NHTSA research and safety standard (FMVSS 212 & 301) compliance crash tests conducted during 1979-84
2. List of NHTSA crash tests conducted between 1967-79
3. "NCAP: Seven Years of Crash Testing for the Public," **IMPACT**, Jan-Feb 1986
4. NHTSA description of NCAP program and summary of NCAP results through 1996
5. P. Zador, et al., "Fatal Front-To-Front Car Collisions and the Results of 35 MPH Frontal Barrier Impacts," 1984
6. NHTSA letter to GM, Volvo and other manufacturers on NCAP crash test results, 2/29/80; NHTSA letter to Volvo, 9/16/80; Volvo letter to NHTSA, 11/5/80
7. NCAP Frontal Barrier Impact Test of 1990 Chevrolet Lumina belt failure (excerpts and photos), 11/18/89
8. C.J. Kahane, et al., NHTSA, Correlation of Vehicle Performance in the New Car Assessment Program With Fatality Risk in Actual Head-On-Collisions, HS# 94-S8-0-11, 1994.
9. Compliance Test of 1995 Chrysler Cirrus belt anchorage failure (excerpts and photos), 7/18/95.
10. NHTSA Memo To File, NCI 3363 - 1995 Chrysler Cirrus, FMVSS 210 Test Failure, 1/11/96.

#### **J. SEAT BELT DEFENSE**

1. CAS, "The Seat Belt Defense: An Overview," 6/91
2. L. Petricone, "Buckle Up for Justice," Trial, 10/84
3. D. Westenberg, "Non-use of Motor Vehicle Safety Belts as an Issue in Civil Litigation," 8/83
4. M. Hoenig, et al., "Safety Belt Use: Some Product Liability Considerations," 1984
5. NHTSA memo summarizing objections to FMVSS 208's mitigation of damages provision, 9/25/84
6. A. Cantor and D. Eisentraut, "The Seat Belt Defense," 1/90

## K. LAWSUITS

1. Pohlen v. Volvo, No. SEC 25136 (Los Angeles County Super Ct), \$650-850,000 settlement on 1976 Volvo whose belt failed like one in NCAP crash tests
2. Fox v. Ford Motor Co., (10th Cir. 1978), \$650,000 award for failure to provide rear shoulder belts in 1970 Thunderbird
3. Pierson v. General Motors (exhibits on GM's failure to equip 1975 Caprice with rear seat shoulder belts), 1976
4. Garrett v. Ford Motor Co., 684 F. Supp 407, memo order denying defendants' summary judgment motion (D.M.D. 8/21/87); "Seat Belt Award is \$3 Million," Washington Post, 12/21/87
5. Doty v. Ford Motor Co., No. 87-7044 (D.D.C.), CAS amicus brief on why seat belt cases are not preempted by Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, 9/15/87; settled for \$400,000, Trial Lawyers for Public Justice release
6. Schuring v. Nissan, No. 87 CV 1619 (Trumbull County OH Comm Pleas Ct, Sep. 1, 1989), \$2.53 million judgment on failure of belt to lock in 1983 Nissan 280ZX.
7. List of reported cases involving seat belt defects

## L. SLACK

1. Letter from Ford Motor Co. Safety Director J.C. Eckhold to Asst. Transportation Secretary Connor suggesting DOT allow window-shade tension relievers to increase belt use, 3/17/76
2. Alan D. Morris P.E. to NHTSA on shoulder belt slack caused by windowshade tensioners, 11/21/78; NHTSA response, 2/5/79; Morris to NHTSA, 5/23/79; NHTSA response, 6/21/79
3. NHTSA NPRM, Doc. No. 74-14, Not. 17 (Seat Belt Comfort & convenience) 44 FR 77210, 12/31/79
4. NHTSA Summary of Comments, Docket No. 74-14, Not. 17 (Seat Belt Comfort & Convenience)
5. NHTSA (Excerpt) "Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis on Seat Belt Comfort & Convenience," Doc. No. 74-14, Not. 17, 10/79
6. GM Comment on Doc. No. 74-14, Not. 17, 4/9/80
7. Ford Comment on Doc. No. 74-14, Not. 17, 4/1/80
8. American Seat Belt Council to NHTSA on Seat Belt Comfort and Convenience Rulemaking, 3/25/80
9. M.A. Ciccone, et al., "Improper Shoulder Belt Use by Maryland Drivers," for IIHS, 6/87
10. J.S. Vernick, et al., AB Kelley Corp., "Factors Affecting Seat Belt Use in Windowshade-Equipped Vehicles: A Critical Analysis," The IIR Review, 1991.
11. L.S. Robertson, Nanlee Research, "Shoulder Belt Use & Effectiveness in Cars with and without Window Shade Slack Devices," The IIR Review, 1991.
12. D.C. Dilworth, Secrecy About Seat-Belt Flaw May Allow Late Complaints Against General Motors, Trial, 11/19/96.

## M. TECHNICAL MATERIALS

1. J.D. Moreland, "Safety Belts in Motor Cars: An Assessment of Their Effectiveness," Annals of Occupational Hygiene, April-June 1962
2. G. Grime, "Seat Harness," Automobile Engineer, 1/63
3. H.C. Campbell, "Thirty-three fatal crashes with seat belts," Rocky Mountain Medical Journal, 8/63
4. J. Fish, et al., "The Seat Belt Syndrome: Does It Exist?" Journal of Trauma, Vol. 5, No. 6, 1965
5. J.S. Kihlberg, et al., Automotive Crash Injury Research Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, "Seat Belt Use and Injury Patterns in Automobile Accidents," 12/67
6. T. Saldeen, "Fatal Neck Injuries Caused by Use of Diagonal Safety Belts," Journal of Trauma, 1967
7. R.E. Marland, "Lap-Shoulder Belt Offers Safety Advantage," Journal of American Society of Safety Engineers, 9/68
8. R.C. Haeusler, "Restraint Systems -- Are They Really Effective?" Traffic Safety, 10/68
9. R.G. Snyder, DOT, "Seat Belt Injuries in Impact," 3/69
10. D.E. Martin, et al., General Motors, "Restraint System Choices -- Should the Customer Decide?" 6/73
11. D.F. Huelke, et al., University of Michigan, "Severe to Fatal Injuries to Lap-Shoulder Belted Car Occupants," 1977

12. J. Morris, Dynamic Science, "Seat Belt Performance in 30 MPH Barrier Impacts," 4/77
13. W. Haddon, IIHS, "Quadriplegia and Other Motor Vehicle Injuries: Some Implications and Choices for Motor Vehicle Manufacturers," 7/78
14. J.E. Shanks, et al., McGill University, "Injury Mechanisms to Fully Restrained Occupants," 1979
15. J. Tom, et al., Verve Research, "Examination of Comfort and Convenience of 1979 Safety Belt Systems," 1/79
16. Corporate-Tech Planning, "Impact of FMVSS 208, Comfort and Convenience on Vehicle Manufacturing," 6/79
17. W.E. Woodson, et al., Man Factors, "Comfort and Convenience Specifications for Safety Belts: Shoulder Belt Fit, Pressure and Pullout Forces," 1980
18. Motor Industry Research Association, "Comfort and Convenience of Safety Belts in Everyday Use," 1981
19. M. Dajeammes, et al., "Three-Point Belt Restraint: Investigation of Comfort Needs, Evaluation of Efficacy Improvements," 1984
20. J. Mitzkus, et al., "Three-Point Belt Improvements for Increased Occupant Protection," 1984
21. W. Rutherford, et al., Department of Health and Social Security, "Medical Effects of Seat Belt Legislation in the United Kingdom," 1985 (excerpts)
22. Ad: new GM methodology, seat belts only 41% effective in preventing traffic fatalities; 1986
23. L. Evans, General Motors, "Rear Seat Belt Restraint System Effectiveness in Preventing Fatalities," 6/24 & 9/25/86
24. NTSB, "Safety Study: Performance of Lap Belts in 26 Frontal Crashes"; NTSB Chairman Goldman letter to U.S. & foreign manufacturers urging 3-point rear belts, 8/8/86
25. "Undercoating of Cars Linked to Seat Belt Failure," Lawyers Alert, 4/87
26. R. Green, et al., University of Western Ontario, "Abdominal Injuries Associated with the Use of Rear-Seat Lap Belts in Real-World Collisions," IRCOBI, 9/87.
27. R. Green et al., University of Western Ontario, "Misuse of Three-Point Occupant Restraint in Real-World Collisions," IRCOBI, 9/87.
28. D. J. Biss, et al., "Kinematic and Dynamic Analysis of Occupant Response to Lap Belt Only Restraint Forces," 9/87
29. NTSB, "Safety Study: Performance of Lap/Shoulder Belts in 167 Motor Vehicle Crashes (Vol. 1)," 3/88; NTSB, Chairman Burnett letter to NHTSA Administrator Steed, 5/10/88
30. D. J. Biss, "Safety Performance Evaluation of Slack Effects in Three-Point Safety Belts Using The Hybrid III Dummy in Frontal and Frontal Oblique Sled Tests," 10/88
31. D. J. Biss, "Investigation, Evaluation, and Development of Advanced Concepts in Three-Point Belt Comfort Enhancement Devices," 6/89
32. Institute for Injury Reduction, "Ending the Lap-Belt Injury Epidemic: A National Cooperative Action Plan," (Excerpt), 1/30/90
33. U. Maag et al., University of Montreal, "Seat Belts and Neck Injuries," IRCOBI, 9/90.
34. F. Zuppichini, Verona Medical School, "Seat Belt Performance and After-Market Web-Locking Devices: An Experimental Study," IRCOBI, 9/90.
35. P.A. Anderson, et al., University of Washington, "Epidemiology of Seatbelt-Associated Injuries," IIR Review, 1991.
36. A. Moskowitz, Albany Medical Center, "Lumbar Seatbelt Injury in a Child: Case Report," IIR Review, 1991.
37. K.D. Newman, et al., Children's National Medical Center, "The Lap Belt Complex: Intestinal & Lumbar Spine Injury in Children," IIR Review, 1991.
38. J.P. Appleby, et al., University of British Columbia, "Abdominal Injuries Associated with the Use of Seatbelts," IIR Review, 1991.
39. T.F. MacLaughlin, et al., NHTSA, "Experimental Investigation of Rear Seat Submarining," 1991.
40. B. Kelley, A.B. Kelley Corp., "Critique of 'Rear Seat Submarining Investigation', NHTSA Study," IIR Review, 1991.
41. NHTSA, "Effectiveness of Occupant Protection Systems and Their Use: Report To Congress," 1/93.
42. D.F. Huelke, et al., University Of Michigan Transportation Research Institute, "The Effects of Seat Belt on Injury Severity of Front and Rear Seat Occupants in the Same Frontal Crash," Accident Analysis & Prevention, Vol. 27, No. 6, 1995.
43. D.F. Huelke, et al., University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute, "Vertebral Column Injuries and Lap-Shoulder Belts," The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection and Critical Care, Vol. 38,

- No. 4., 4/95.
44. NHTSA, Second Report to Congress: Effectiveness of Occupant Protection Systems and Their Use, HS # 808-389, 2/96.
  45. L. Evans, General Motors, Safety-Belt Effectiveness: The Influence of Crash Severity and Selective Recruitment, Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol. 28, No. 4, 1996.

#### **N. RESOURCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. NHTSA 1985 seat belt literature review
2. CAS bibliography of seat belt literature (Current up to 96)
3. Sources of information
4. DOT, 1969 index of seat belt studies
5. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) films
6. DOT, Fatal Accident Reporting System (FARS)
7. DOT, Compendium of Traffic Safety Research Projects: A Decade and Beyond, (Seat Belt Excerpt), 4/96.
8. Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance (Description).