

Washington, DC 20554, telephone (202) 863-2893, facsimile (202) 863-2898, or via e-mail [qualixint@aol.com](mailto:qualixint@aol.com).

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

■ Part 73 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### § 73.202 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Arizona, is amended by removing Channel 280C3 and by adding Channel 232C3 at Chino Valley and by removing Channel 280C2 and by adding Channel 280C1 at Gilbert.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 04-8687 Filed 4-15-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 04-912; MM Docket No. 01-152; RM-10168]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Encinal, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Audio Division, at the request of Charles Crawford, allots Channel 259A at Encinal, Texas, as the community's second local FM service. Channel 259A can be allotted to Encinal, Texas, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 10.9 km (6.8 miles) east of Encinal. The coordinates for Channel 259A at Encinal, Texas, are 28-03-51 North Latitude and 99-14-47 West Longitude. The Mexican government has concurred in this allotment. A filing window for Channel 259A at Encinal, TX, will not be opened at this time. Instead, the issue of opening this allotment for auction will be addressed by the Commission in a subsequent Order.

**DATES:** Effective May 20, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Dupont, Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 01-152, adopted April 2, 2004, and released April 5, 2004. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 863-2893, facsimile (202) 863-2898, or via e-mail [qualexint@aol.com](mailto:qualexint@aol.com).

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio, Radio broadcasting.

■ Part 73 of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334 and 336.

#### § 73.202 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by adding Channel 259A at Encinal.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 04-8701 Filed 4-15-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 579

[Docket No. NHTSA 2001-8677; Notice 9]

**RIN 2127-AJ38**

#### Reporting of Information and Documents About Potential Defects

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; response to petition for reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** This document grants a petition requesting a clarification of the definition of "field report" under regulations that implement the early warning reporting provisions of the Transportation Recall Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act.

**DATES:** Effective Date: The effective date of the amendments made by this final rule is May 17, 2004. Petitions for Reconsideration: Petitions for reconsideration of any amendments made by this final rule must be received not later than June 1, 2004.

**ADDRESSES:** Petitions for reconsideration of the amendments made by this final rule must refer to the docket or Regulatory Identification Number (RIN) for this rulemaking, and be addressed to the Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA). You may submit a petition by any of the following methods:

- **Web site:** <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.

- **Fax:** 1-202-493-2251.

- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590-001.

- **Hand Delivery:** Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

- **Federal rulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting petitions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For non-legal issues, contact Jonathan White, Office of Defects Investigation, NHTSA (phone: 202-366-5226). For legal issues, contact Andrew DiMarsico, Office of Chief Counsel, NHTSA (phone: 202-366-5263).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On July 10, 2002, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published a final rule implementing the early warning reporting (EWR) provisions of the Transportation Recall Enhancement Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act, 49 U.S.C. 30166(m) (67 FR 45822).

We received a number of petitions for reconsideration of the final rule, and have responded to most of them in separate rulemaking notices. In response to one of those notices (Notice 4, 68 FR 18136, April 15, 2003), we received one additional petition for reconsideration of the definition of "field report" which was revised by Notice 4.

##### II. Petition for Reconsideration of the Definition of "Field Report"

In Notice 4, we redefined "field report" as follows:

Field report means a communication in writing, including communications in electronic form, from an employee or representative of a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment, with respect to a vehicle or equipment that has been transported beyond the direct control of the manufacturer, a dealer, an authorized service facility of such manufacturer, or an entity known to the manufacturer as owning or operating a fleet, to a manufacturer, regarding the failure, malfunction, lack of durability, or other performance problem of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment, or any part thereof, produced for sale by that manufacturer, regardless of whether verified or assessed to be lacking in merit, but does not include a document covered by the attorney-client privilege or the work product exclusion.

Stephen E. Selander of Southfield, Michigan, filed a petition for reconsideration of the definition of "field report," with the comment that "a technical amendment is desirable to clarify the definition." Mr. Selander would redefine "field report" as:

a communication in writing, including communications in electronic form, from an employee or representative of a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment, a dealer or authorized service facility of such manufacturer, or an entity that owns or operates a fleet, to the manufacturer regarding the failure, malfunction, lack of durability, or other performance problem of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment, or any part thereof, produced for sale by that manufacturer and transported beyond the direct control of the manufacturer, regardless of whether verified or assessed to be lacking in merit, but does not include documents covered by the attorney-client privilege or the work product exclusion.

The definition suggested by Mr. Selander simply rearranges the elements of the existing definition in a manner that, in our opinion, does clarify its meaning. However, the definition contains one substantive change that we do not accept. With respect to fleets, we note that he would replace the existing phrase "an entity known to the manufacturer as owning or operating a fleet" with "an entity that owns or operates a fleet." In Notice 4, we redefined the definition of "field report" adopted on July 10, 2002, to include the phrase "known to the manufacturer" in recognition of the assertion by the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers (the Alliance) that "it is usually not obvious on the face of a written complaint from a customer or other

person making the complaint whether that customer owns ten or more vehicles of the same make, model, and model year" (68 FR at 18138). While we are amenable to rearranging the elements of the definition in the manner suggested by Mr. Selander, we are retaining the phrase "known to the manufacturer" in the clause relating to fleets. Finally, in the phrase that ends the definition, relating to the attorney-client privilege and work product exclusions, Mr. Selander uses the plural "documents" where the existing definition uses the singular, "a document." Upon reflection, we think "any" document preferable. Accordingly, we are granting the petition for reconsideration and amending the definition of "field report" to read as follows:

Field report means a communication in writing, including communications in electronic form, from an employee or representative of a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment, a dealer or authorized service facility of such manufacturer, or an entity known to the manufacturer as owning or operating a fleet, to the manufacturer regarding the failure, malfunction, lack of durability, or other performance problem of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment, or any part thereof, produced for sale by that manufacturer and transported beyond the direct control of the manufacturer, regardless of whether verified or assessed to be lacking in merit, but does not include any document covered by the attorney-client privilege or the work product exclusion.

### III. Privacy Act Statement

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477) or you may visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

### IV. Rulemaking Analyses

This notice makes technical changes to the definition of "field report" adopted in Notice 4. The changes made to the early warning reporting regulation by this notice do not alter the burdens and impacts discussed in the Regulatory Analyses in Notice 4. To the extent that the Regulatory Analyses may be relevant to this minor change, the Analyses in

Notice 4 (68 FR 18140–18142) are hereby incorporated by reference.

### List of Subjects

#### 49 CFR Part 579

Imports, Motor vehicle safety, Motor vehicles, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ For the reasons set out in the preamble, 49 CFR part 579 is amended as follows:

### PART 579—REPORTING OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT POTENTIAL DEFECTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 579 continues to read as follows:

Sec. 3, Pub. L. 106–414, 114 Stat. 1800 (49 U.S.C. 30102–103, 30112, 30117–121, 30166–167); delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.50.

#### Subpart A—General

■ 2. Section 579.4(c) is amended by revising the definition of "Field report," to read as follows:

#### § 579.4 Terminology.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) Other terms. \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

Field report means a communication in writing, including communications in electronic form, from an employee or representative of a manufacturer of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment, a dealer or authorized service facility of such manufacturer, or an entity known to the manufacturer as owning or operating a fleet, to the manufacturer regarding the failure, malfunction, lack of durability, or other performance problem of a motor vehicle or motor vehicle equipment, or any part thereof, produced for sale by that manufacturer and transported beyond the direct control of the manufacturer, regardless of whether verified or assessed to be lacking in merit, but does not include any document covered by the attorney-client privilege or the work product exclusion.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued on: April 13, 2004.

**Jeffrey W. Runge,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04–8716 Filed 4–15–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P