March 9, 2016

The Honorable Mark Rosekind, Administrator
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
400 Seventh Street SW
Washington, DC 20590

## PETITION

Dear Dr. Rosekind:

The Center for Auto Safety (CAS) petitions the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to take action to protect children riding in the rear seats of vehicles from the risk of being killed or severely injured when struck by a collapsing front seat back in a rear-end crash. The agency's vehicle and child-restraint warning label requirements, child protection advisories, and website recommendations currently encourage parents to place their children in the rear seats of passenger cars without providing parents any recommendation on where in the rear seat the child should be placed. While the rear seat is the safest location for a child, it is safer still if the child is placed behind an unoccupied front seat or behind the lightest front seat occupant.

We request that the agency modify its child seating recommendations by adding the following or similar warning language and that such language be required in Owner’s Manuals under 49 CFR § 571.208 S4.5.1(f):

## If Possible, Children Should Be Placed In Rear Seating Positions Behind Unoccupied Front Seats. In Rear-End Crashes, the Backs of Occupied Front Seats Are Prone To Collapse Under the Weight of Their Occupants. If This Occurs, the Seat Backs and Their Occupants Can Strike Children in Rear Seats and Cause Severe or Fatal Injuries

Additionally, we request that the agency use its public and media information and social media resources to broadcast such a warning to parents. Finally, we petition the agency to add a statement to the label required for rear facing child seats in Figure 10 of 49 CFR § 571.213. "Place behind an unoccupied front seat where possible."

NHTSA presently requires only that warning labels be placed in vehicle interiors and on child restraint stating: "The back seat is the safest place for children 12 and under." 49 CFR § 571.213, Standard No. 213, Child restraint systems; §571.208, Standard No. 208; Occupant Crash Protection) It advises parents to "Keep kids in the back seat at least through age 12." (A

Parent's Guide to Playing It Safe With Kids and Cars, www.safercar.gov/parents/index.htm) The absence from these warnings and recommendations of language alerting parents to the dangers of front seatback collapse and the safest rear seat locations for children exposes children to needless severe harm in rear-end crashes.

## HISTORY

The problem underlying the need for the warnings sought by petitioner is, of course, the poor performance of seatbacks in rear-end crashes, and of serious inadequacy of the federal motor vehicle standard, FMVSS 207, which specifies minimum seat and seatback crash performance levels. Seatbacks often collapse in FMVSS 301 rear impact fuel system crash tests conducted by NHTSA but these tests apply to fuel tanks, not seat backs. In 1974, NHTSA proposed seatbacks could not collapse in FMVSS 301 rear crash tests but failed to adopt that as a mandatory standard. If NHTSA had required seatbacks not collapse as part of FMVSS 301, there would be no need for a warning. Attachment A is a document prepared by the Center, "Collapsing Seatbacks And Injury Causation: A Timeline Of Knowledge," which summarizes the history of manufacturer and NHTSA inaction to ensure that in rear-end crashes, front seats provide adequate protection not only for their occupants but for people in the rear seats behind them.

As the "Timeline" shows, the problem is far from new. Public papers of the Society of Automotive Engineers as early as 1967 described the need for adequate front-seat crashworthiness in graphic and alarming terms. A poorly designed car seat "becomes an injury-producing agency during collision," said one. (SAE 670921). Another stated, "a weak seatback is not recognized as an acceptable solution for motorist protection from rear end collisions." (SAE 680079)

In 1973 the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety informed NHTSA of front seat back failures in a series of rear-end crash tests, and invited the agency to examine the vehicles. In 1974 the Public Interest Research Group petitioned NHTSA to upgrade its weak seat strength standard, FMVSS 207, and to add a dynamic rear-end impact test requirement to the standard.

In 1974 the agency announced its intention to develop a new standard "covering the total seating system" and requiring dynamic rear impact tests such as those already required by the fuel tank integrity standard (FMVSS 301), but thirty years later, after having taken no action to make good on its promised new standard, NHTSA dropped the rulemaking proposal, saying it needed "additional research and data analyses" before moving ahead. Meanwhile, children were being killed or severely injured in rear-end crashes because flimsy front seat backs were collapsing into them. Attachment B to this petition is an analysis of FARS data done for the Center by Friedman Research Corp. It shows that over the fourteen year period 1990-2014, nearly 900 children seated behind a front-seat occupant or in a center rear seat died in rear impacts of 1990 and later model-year cars. We call on NHTSA to do what it hasn't done, investigate each and every one of these child deaths to determine which ones were caused by seatback collapse. To simply say as NHTSA has done so often in the past that FARS shows an insignificant number
of seatback collapse deaths is nothing more than an excuse for inaction because FARS doesn't identify seatback collapse in crashes.

In 1989, separate petitions by engineering experts asked NHTSA to open rulemaking to develop standards requiring strong seatbacks that would resist collapse in rear-end crashes. These petitions were granted, yet NHTSA never initiated the rulemaking they sought. (Petitions of Kenneth Saczalski and Alan Cantor) Recently, Mr. Cantor filed a second petition seeking NHTSA action to mandate adequate seatback strength.

From 1991 until today, NHTSA has been urging parents to place children in rear seats, principally because placing them in front passenger seats might expose them to injury from inflating airbags in frontal crashes. But an "unintended consequence" of this has been to expose them to another kind of hazard - that of being struck or crushed when the back of a front seat occupied by an adult collapses rearward if they are placed behind an occupied front seat.

Over the years of agency and manufacturer inaction, the severity of the collapsing seatback problem has been brought to NHTSA's attention time and again. A report to Transport Canada in 1989 documented numerous cases of front seatback failure resulting in occupant injuries. A 1992 segment on CBS "60 Minutes" warned viewers that, "What keeps you from being seriously injured when you're hit from the rear is the backs of your seat. If that breaks - and in crashes at 30 miles an hour in both American and Japanese cars it almost always does - chances are you're going to be catapulted backward." Also in 1992, Public Citizen and the Institute for Injury Reduction held a press conference to emphasize the need for seat back standards and compliance tests that would ensure that "occupants in rear-end crashes will be protected against ejection, loss of control and other injury-causing results of flimsy seats." As recently as last year, CBS News carried a feature story on the collapsing seatback hazard in which it warned, "Even if you bought a car with a five-star safety rating, if you're hit from behind, your seat may not protect you or the children sitting behind you."

The injurious consequences of collapse-prone seatbacks have also been the subject of court suits. A 1996 Arizona case, Zuern v Ford Motor Co., stemmed from severe head and femur injuries sustained by a five-year-old boy seated behind the driver, his father. Their vehicle was rearended, causing the father's seatback to collapse onto his son. Jeremy Flax et al. v.
DaimlerChrysler Corporation et al., a 2005 case in Tennessee, involved severe brain damage to an eight-month-old child who was seated in a child restraint behind the front passenger. He was struck by the front passenger's seatback which collapsed in a rear-end impact and died a day later. Kingsley v. Fiat Chrysler, a case pending in the North Carolina courts, involves the death of a 13-month-old boy who was in a child restraint seat behind the driver. When the vehicle was struck in the rear while waiting to turn into a church parking lot, the driver’s seatback collapsed, fatally impacting the child.

The Center has identified 22 lawsuits involving children who were killed or seriously injured in rear seats of vehicles where an occupied front seat collapsed backward into the child.
(Attachment C.) Like Kingsley v. Fiat Chrysler, 14 of the 22 lawsuits involved a child behind the driver seat. In all too many cases, the parents are in the front seat with the heavier father driving so that the lighter mother can watch and tend to the child.

In 2003, NHTSA itself recognized that collapsing front seats endangered children in rear seats in a series of FMVSS 301 30-mph rear impact crashes. The researchers stated: "Further, fatalities and injuries to rear child occupants due to seat back collapse of the front seat in rear impacts have also been reported. This is especially of concern since NHTSA recommends to the public that children of age 12 and under should be placed in the rear seat." ("Performance of Seating Systems in A FMVSS No. 301 Rear Impact Crash Test," Saunders et al., 18th International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles, May 2003, Tokyo, Japan.) Despite the NHTSA staff concern expressed in this paper, the very next year NHTSA terminated its rulemaking to upgrade seatback strength required by FMVSS 207. (69 FR 67068, Nov. 16, 2004.) The termination failed to discuss FMVSS 301 paper at the $18^{\text {th }}$ ESV Conference.

## CONCLUSION

Until cars on the American highway are equipped with adequately strong front seats and seatbacks, children in rear seats behind occupied front seats will continue to be in danger of death or severe injury from front seatback failures in rear-end impacts. For this reason the Center for Auto Safety today petitions for action by the agency to warn parents of this danger and how to avoid it when possible. Separately, the Center is filing a detailed analysis of police reports and lawsuits that shows the dangers of seat back collapse are far greater than what the agency recognizes because seat back collapse is not captured by the FARS database on which the agency has relied for all too long to deny there is a seatback collapse danger.

This is admittedly an interim measure which is made necessary by the continued absence of a federal motor vehicle safety standard requiring that cars be equipped with adequately protective front seats, but it is essential. The agency can take most of the requested steps on its own, without time-consuming rulemaking, and should do so promptly.

Sincerely,


Clarence M. Ditlow
Executive Director
cc: Secretary of Transportation Anthony Foxx

## COLLAPSING SEATBACKS AND INJURY CAUSATION: A TIMELINE OF KNOWLEDGE

## 1966

The National Highway Safety Bureau, predecessor agency to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), issues Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 207, Anchorage of Seats - Passenger Cars, to become effective $1 / 1 / 68$. The purpose and scope of the standard is described as follows: "This standard establishes requirements for seats, their attachment assemblies, and their installation to prevent failure and dislocation by forces acting on the seat as a result of vehicle impact." The standard specifies test loadings and permits the use of static, i.e., non-dynamic compliance tests. (31 FR 15219, 3/12/66)

## 1967

Before FMVSS No. 207 can take effect, the agency issues a modification effective January 1, 1968, of its terms weakening the test loadings requirements and modifying the purpose of the standard to state that its intention is to "minimize the possibility of failure by forces etc..." rather than to "prevent failure and dislocation by forces acting on the seat as a result of vehicle impact." (32 FR 2415, 2/3/67)

## 1967

Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) papers note that "a car seat which does not act as a motorist's inner protective shield against collision forces is failing its most vital role," and "a poorly designed seat and seat anchorage system becomes an injury-producing agency during collision." (Severy and coauthors, "Collision Performance, LM Safety Car," SAE 670458; "Preliminary Findings of Head Support Designs," SAE 670921)

## $\underline{1968}$

SAE papers note the importance of strong seatback design and the injurious consequences of weak designs. "An adequately designed full support system should be provided with an exceptionally rigid seat back and head support structure to restrain the motors in his normal seating position so that adequate accelerative support can be provided throughout the collision," and "...a weak seatback is not recognized as an acceptable solution for motorist protection from rear end collisions." (Severy and coauthors, "Vehicle Design for Passenger Protection from High-Speed Rear-End Collisions," SAE 680774;
"Backrest and Head Restraint Design for Rear-End Collision Protection," SAE 680079)

## 1969

SAE papers by Snyder, Severy and co-authors point out the injury-causing outcomes of weak seat and seatback systems. ("A Survey of Automotive Restraint Systems," SAE 690243; "Safer Seat Designs," SAE 690812; "Rigid Seats with 28-in. Seatback Effectively Reduce Injuries in 30+ mph Rear Impacts," SAE Journal, April, 1969.

## 1973

The Insurance Institute for Highway Safety notifies NHTSA that in a series of rear-end moving barrier impact tests run in connection with a fuel tank integrity investigation, front seat backs of the impacted vehicles "failed in all tests." ("Report of Six Rear Moving Barrier Crash Tests") It invites NHTSA to inspect the vehicles. (IIHS letter of 11/6/73 to NHTSA Docket No. 70-20-NO2-019)

The Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) petitions NHTSA to upgrade its seat strength standard (FMVSS 207). Although the standard is "intended to protect occupants (particularly in the front, outboard seating positions) from injury in low to moderate speed rear and frontal impact," recent studies of "contemporary vehicles subjected to rear impacts (both experimentally and in accidents on public roads)" have shown the standard to be inadequate. The petition seeks an upgraded standard that would include a dynamic rear-end impact test requirement with dummies present in the vehicles. (2/21/74, PIRG Letter and Petition to Amend FMVSS 208 To Include Passive Occupant Protection in Impacts from the Rear of the Vehicle)

## 1974

NHTSA proposes a new Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard "covering the total seating system." The new standard would combine two previous standards covering aspects of seating system performance, and would specify crash test parameters and frontal crash performance for front seats. It would require a dynamic rear impact test identical to that required for testing fuel tank integrity. No mention is made of hazards to rear seat occupants from front seat back collapse in rear-end impact. (FMVSS 207, 301)

## 1976

In an article in Automotive Engineering, an SAE publication, Severy and Kerkhoff assert that although seat designs are meeting the current, weak federal performance standard, no current design provides adequate protection under more than moderate collision induced forces. ("Designing Safer Seats," Automotive Engineering, Vol. 84, No. 10, October 1976.

## 1989

Researcher Kenneth Saczalski petitions NHTSA to require stronger front seatbacks in future cars. The agency accepts the petition but to date has not moved toward issuing the requirement. (Saczalski, Kenneth J: Petition to Improve FMVSS 207. April 18, 1989)

## 1989

In a notice, NHTSA invites comments on the Saczalski petition, which it characterizes as follows: "Mr. Saczalski ... has uncovered what he perceives to be a safety problem related to inadequate seat strength and seat back failure in rear impacts. ... he has investigated in the last two years four cases in which occupants suffered serious or fatal injuries as a result of rear impacts. The petitioner attributed this problem to the fact that during rear impact, the seat backs are loaded by the inertia of the occupant's upper body, a factor that the current seat back requirements do not consider. As a result, the petitioner stated that the seat back collapses, allowing the occupants to slide out from under the lap safety belt. This makes it more likely for the occupants to impact against the vehicle's interior or to be ejected.
"Mr. Saczalski requested that NHTSA amend Standard No 207 as follows. First, he petitioned that the agency reexamine the general performance requirements in Standard No. 207. Second, he requested that Standard No. 207 specify that the load must be both 20 times the weight of the seat back and 20 times the weight of the occupant. Sections S4.2 (a) and (b) of Standard No. 207 currently only require that the seat withstand 20 times the weight of the seat back. Third, he requested that Section S4.2(d)'s seat back moment criterion be increased to 56,000 inch-pounds. Section S4.2(d) currently requires a seat back to resist a moment of 3,300 inch pounds." (4/10/89, 54 FR 40896-02, Docket No. 89-20; Notice 1, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Seating Systems; Occupant Crash Protection; Seat Belt Assemblies)

## 1989

The incoming NHTSA administrator, Jerry Curry, in a statement to a Senate committee, says the agency has been too slow in issuing new or upgraded safety standards. He pledges to set a goal of 18 months for approval or rejection of new regulations, one of which addresses the problem of inadequate seatback strength. (10/25/89, Associated Press, "Curry to Speed Safety Standards," by John Flesher.

## 1989

Mercedes-Benz, in comments to NHTSA, indicates support for stronger seatbacks and regulations requiring them. Seatback performance, it says, should "reduce the danger to front and rear occupants during rear impacts through excessive rearward seat back deformation and the resultant interaction between occupants." Mercedes says its vehicles meet this performance criterion "through a high stiffness of the seatback rails and energy absorbing seat back crossmember as well as an optimum match between belt, seat, and vehicle body structure." No other manufacturer offers similar comments to the agency. (12/7/89, Mercedes-Benz "Comments to Docket 8-20, Notice 1 Concerning Standards 207, 208 and 209")

## 1989

Researcher Alan Cantor petitions NHTSA to require stronger front seatbacks in future cars. The agency accepts the petition but to date has not moved toward issuing the requirement. (Cantor, Alan: Petition for Rulemaking to Amend FMVSS 207 to Prohibit Ramping up the Seat Back of and Occupant During a Collision. December 28, 1989)

## 1989

A contractor's report to Transport Canada, "Accidents Involving Seat Back Failures," documents twentythree case studies - "individual, real-world incidents" illustrating "a variety of injury mechanisms arising out of seat back failure." The report is meant to "enable persons examining seat back strength requirements to better understand the consequences of failure to the subject component." It points out that, "The passenger seat and restraint system in a vehicle act together to retain the occupant during the accelerations a vehicle experiences in the course of an accident. When one of them fails, it is not always possible for the other to fully restrain the occupant." The report says:
"For a number of years it has been observed that the existing seat back strength requirement [FMVSS 207 as adopted by Canada] does not prevent seat back collapse. Seat back failure during a crash can not only result in injury to rear seat occupants but provides an avenue for ejection even when the occupant is using the restraint system. It has also been observed that during Canadian Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301 rear impact tests, virtually all bucket seat backs and split bench seat backs fail." All of the involved seats, the report added, "met the standards currently in place for new motor vehicles" - standards identical to U.S standards. (Report No. C1322/2, "Accidents Involving Seat Back Failures," report by TES Ltd., 12/89)

## 1990

One Child Fatality in Rear Impact: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1991

Because of the "increasing number of vehicles with passenger-side air bags," NHTSA issues a warning to parents "about child safety seat use in cars with air bags." The "safest position for any type of child seat is in the rear seat," it says. Over the ensuing 25 years the warning is reiterated countless times in NHTSA publications and website materials. (NHTSA Press Release 60-91, "NHTSA Warns Parents About Child Safety Seat Use In Cars With Airbags")

## 1991

Three Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1992

A February 16 segment of CBS "60 Minutes" questions the adequacy of seatback strength and NHTSA seatback performance regulations. Reporting on the segment, Automotive News quotes its anchorman, Ed Bradley, as stating: "What keeps you from being seriously injured when you're hit from the rear is the backs of your seat. If that breaks - and in crashes at 30 miles an hour in both American and Japanese cars it almost always does - chances are you're going to be catapulted backward." Bradley also says the major car companies and NHTSA declined to discuss seat backs, according to Automotive News.(" 60 Minutes Report Evokes Debate on Seatback Safety," Automotive News, February 24, 1992)

## 1992

At a press conference on May 14, two consumer groups criticize NHTSA for breaking a 1989 pledge to "move quickly toward regulations preventing seat and seatback collapse in rear-end crashes" so that "occupants in rear-end crashes will be protected against ejection, loss of control and other injury-causing results of flimsy seats." They note the contrast between NHTSA's 30 mph frontal crash protection requirements and those for rear crashes, which allow seat components to "fail at impact speeds as low as 12 miles per hour." Films of General Motors rear impact tests confirm the lethal discrepancy.

During the press conference a 1968 General Motors internal memorandum is described: it "concluded that when a car is equipped with seat backs which are ""designed to yield under rear impact," there is "highly probable interference with the rear seat occupants. This condition has the potential of severe injury to either one or both of the occupants." Also, the results of a series of drop tests are released, showing that "the levels of protection provided in higher-speed front crashes by belted restraint systems are completely absent even in low-level rear impacts - even though the seat and seat back restraint systems, given their potential for energy distributing structures and materials, should provide even better protection at higher loadings than belts can provide."

The two consumer groups release a letter to the NHTSA administrator noting that frontal dynamic crash tests are already required by the agency's standards for the integrity of fuel systems and other components. "It is inexcusable that rear crash performance of seats, seatbacks and head restraint protection should be exempted from such standards." For testing seat performance, "30 mph fixed barrier rear impacts in longitudinal and angled configurations should be required..." Further, there should be "defect investigation and recall of vehicles whose seats and seatbacks are found to be needlessly failing in
real-world rear-end crashes." ("Safety Groups Upbraid NHTSA for Breaking Promise to Move on Seat Collapse in Rear-Enders," Institute for Injury Reduction and Public Citizen press conference, 5/14/92)

## 1992

Four Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1993

Nine Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1994

NHTSA issues a requirement that infant restraints carry a label stating, "Warning: Place this restraint in a vehicle seat that does not have an air bag," which for most cars means a rear seat. (Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Child Restraint Systems, 59 FR 7643-01, 2/16/94)

## 1994

Fourteen Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1995

Fifteen Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1996

NHTSA publishes rulemaking that modifies warning labels in automobiles to address "the adverse effects on children" of being placed in front seating positions equipped with air bags. In an extensive discussion of labelling effectiveness, the agency mandates that future labels should include the following language: "The BACK SEAT is the SAFEST place for children." No mention is made of the threat to child safety involved in the collapse of front seat backs. [Docket No. 74-14; Notice 103, 11/27/96)

## 1996

In Zuern v Ford Motor Co., the Arizona Court of Appeals reviews a case in which a Lincoln Continental rear-ended a stopped Ford Aerostar van at approximately $36-39 \mathrm{mph}$. "Plaintiff Frank Zuern was driving and his then five year-old son, Blake, was seated in the chair directly behind him. Both were wearing seat
belts. During the collision, Mr. Zuern's seat back collapsed rearward into the space that Blake occupied. Blake sustained a fractured left femur and severe head injuries," according to the Appeals Court. A lower court jury had found that Mr. Zuern's seatback was defective, a finding that was not challenged in the appeal. (Zuern By and Through Zuern v. Ford Motor Co., Court of Appeals of Arizona, Division 2, Department A. December 19, 1996188 Ariz. 486937 P.2d 676)

## 1996

Twenty-Five Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1997

Twenty-Five Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1998

Thirty-One Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 1999

Thirty-Four Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)
$\underline{2000}$
In a study entitled, "Effects Of Front Seat Performance Failure On Rear Seat Occupant Injuries In Rear Impacts," researcher Keith Friedman and co-authors report on the results of an analysis of serious injury in "rear end accidents involving rear seat occupants seated behind a front seat occupant" in order to determine the role of front seat performance failure.
"Seat performance failure is when some element of the seat fails to do what it is designed to do... The results suggest that the risk of serious injury is greater in the $6.7-11.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ Delta-V crash severity range when the seat in front of the occupant suffers a performance failure." (Effects Of Front Seat Performance Failure On Rear Seat Occupant Injuries In Rear Impacts, BED, Vol. 48, 2000, Advances In Bioengineering, ASME 2000)

## 2000

Forty-One Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat

Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2001}$

In a letter to attorney James Sillery, NHTSA's acting Chief Counsel, John Womack, says the agency is "very concerned about seatback strength and performance." He notes various petitions have been filed seeking rulemaking to upgrade the seatback standard, and that NHTSA now "anticipates a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to upgrade of this standard" in the near future. His letter responds to Mr. Sillery reporting front seat back collapse in a Toyota Camry rear impact.

## $\underline{2001}$

Forty-Five Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2002}$

In a paper entitled, "Study Of Seat System Performance Related To Injury Of Rear Seated Children and Infants In Rear Impacts," Kenneth Saczalski and coauthors note that although NHTSA warns parents to place children in the rear seat due to front-seat airbag deployment injury risks, it has failed to act on a related injury risk to children. "...during most rear impacts the adult occupied front seats will collapse into the rear occupant area and, as such, pose another potentially serious injury risk to the rear seated children and infants who are located on rear seats... Also, in the case of higher speed rear impacts, intrusion of the occupant compartment may cause the child to be shoved forward into the rearward collapsing front seat occupant thereby increasing impact forces to the trapped child."

The paper reports on "more than a dozen actual accident cases involving over 2-dozen rear-seated children, where 7 children received fatal injuries, and the others received injuries ranging from severely disabling to minor injury... The results indicate that children and infants seated behind a collapsing driver seat, even in low severity rear impacts of less than 25 kph , encounter a high risk of serious or fatal injury, whether or not rear intrusion takes place." (Study Of Seat System Performance Related To Injury Of Rear Seated Children \& Infants In Rear Impacts, Proceedings of IMECE2002, ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress \& Exposition, November 17-22, 2002, New Orleans, Louisiana, IMECE2002-33517)

## $\underline{2002}$

Fifty-Eight Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2003}$

In a paper presented at the 18th ESV Conference, a group of NHTSA researchers notes concern with the problem of front seat back collapse in rear impacts:
"Even though current production seats exceed the FMVSS No. 207 requirements, there are still anecdotal cases of front and rear occupant injuries and fatalities due to seat back collapse (Saczalski 1993 and Cantor 1989). These researchers believe that the potential hazards from a seat back that deforms too much in a rear impact include: the inability to control the vehicle in the event of a second impact, ejection of the occupant from the seat and injury to the rear seat occupant when struck by the front seat. Further, fatalities and injuries to rear child occupants due to seat back collapse of the front seat in rear impacts have also been reported. This is especially of concern since NHTSA recommends to the public that children of age 12 and under should be placed in the rear seat." ("Performance Of Seating Systems In $A$ FMVSS No. 301 Rear Impact Crash Test," Saunders and coauthors, 18th International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles, May 2003, Tokyo, Japan)

## $\underline{2003}$

Fifty Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2004}$

TRIAL publishes, "Conspiracy of Silence," documenting manufacturer knowledge that "collapsing seat backs were a dangerous and outdated design" based on evidence produced in lawsuits involving injuries sustained due to inadequate seatback strength. (American Association for Justice, 1/3/04)

## 2004

NHTSA terminates its decade-long rulemaking in FMVSS 207, Seating Systems, which was intended to "improve motor vehicle seat performance in rear impacts." It says that "additional research and data analyses are needed to allow an informed decision on a rulemaking action in this area... Research into this area will continue as time and resources allow..." (69 Federal Register 67068-69, Nov. 16, 2004)

## 2004

Sixty-Six Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2005}$

In Jeremy Flax et al. v. DaimlerChrysler Corp. et al., a Tennessee trial court awarded punitive damages against Chrysler for the death of a rear-seated child caused by a front seat back collapse. The Tennessee Supreme Court upheld the verdict against the manufacturer in 2008. According to the Court:
"On June 30, 2001, Rachel Sparkman and her eight-month-old son, Joshua Flax, were passengers in a 1998 Dodge Grand Caravan operated by Ms. Sparkman's father, Jim Sparkman. Ms. Sparkman was seated in a captain's chair directly behind the driver's seat. Joshua Flax was restrained in a child safety seat in the captain's chair directly behind the front passenger's seat, which Joe McNeil occupied. As Mr. Sparkman turned left from a private drive onto a public road, the Caravan was rear-ended by a pickup truck...
"Upon impact, the backs of the seats containing Mr. Sparkman, Ms. Sparkman, and Mr. McNeil yielded rearward into a reclining position. Tragically, the front passenger's seatback collapsed far enough to allow the back of Mr. McNeil's head to collide with Joshua Flax's forehead. The collision fractured Joshua Flax's skull and caused severe brain damage. None of the other passengers in the Caravan suffered serious injuries. Experts for both parties acknowledged that Joshua Flax would not have been seriously injured if the seat in front of him had not yielded rearward." Flax v. Daimler-Chrysler Corp., 272 S.W.3d 521 (Tenn. 2008).

## 2005

A Detroit News article reporting on the Flax cases states that "Safety advocates say collapsing seats in rear-end collisions are a common and dangerous problem." It adds that recently, "NHTSA declined to establish stronger seatback strength requirements, saying it wants to do additional research and has higher priorities at the moment. The seat-strength regulation, safety standard 207, has remained essentially unchanged since it was adopted in 1971.
"But Clarence Ditlow, director of the Center for Auto Safety, a Washington group that tracks accident data, said he has seen an increase in accidents where children in the back seat are injured by collapsing front seats in otherwise survivable crashes. 'People in these crashes whose seats don't collapse walk away,' he said." A spokesperson for NHTSA, asked to comment on the Flax crash, is quoted as saying, "These seats did exactly what they were designed to do." (Detroit News, March 30, 2005)

## 2005

Fifty-Seven Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 2006

Fifty-Eight Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2007}$

Forty-Seven Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2008}$

Fifty-Four Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

Forty-Two Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2010}$

Forty Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 2011

Thirty-Nine Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2012}$

Fifty-Six Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## 2013

Forty-Four Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2014}$

Forty Child Fatalities in Rear Impacts: (Children Seated in Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014) - Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat. Analysis by Friedman Research Corp. Data Source: NHTSA Fatal Accident Reporting System)

## $\underline{2015}$

In Kingsley v. Fiat Chrysler, a suit filed in the North Carolina courts, the death of 13-month-old Weston Kingsley is described as follows:
"On the morning of February 2, 2014, the Kingsleys were on their way to Sunday school in the Caravan. Jonathon drove; Kelsey was in the front passenger seat; their son Teague sat in the second row behind Kelsey; and Weston, properly restrained in a child car seat, sat in the left seat in the second row, behind his father. Jonathon and Kelsey were properly belted with their seats in an upright position.
At 13 months old, at least 26 pounds, and 30.5 inches tall, Weston was in a forward-facing child car seat. That position complies with the child seat's instructions, North Carolina law, and the Caravan's owner's
manual. He was properly restrained in five-point harness in a child car seat affixed to the second row seat behind the driver's seat.
"As the Kingsleys waited on US 70 to turn into the church parking lot, Hoover rear-ended them. During the crash, both of the Caravan's front seats failed, collapsing rearward. Because the driver's seat failed, the seatback, headrest, and/or Jonathon's head struck Weston's head. Restrained in the child car seat, Weston had nowhere to go. He was a direct, fixed target for the defective driver's seat as it failed rearward, sending his father rearward in the impact.
"As a direct and proximate result of the Caravan's driver seat failure, Weston suffered severe blunt force trauma, fracturing his skull. He had no other significant injuries and no significant soft tissue injuries. Surviving for nearly three hours, Weston spent the last of his life with a badly fractured skull. He died that afternoon. No one else in the car had any significant physical injuries, including Kelsey's unborn child, who was delivered later, at term, and in good health then and now." (Jonathon Kingsley, Plaintiff, V FCA US LC, filed May 14, 2015, State Of North Carolina, General Court Of Justice, Wake County Superior Court Division)

## $\underline{2015}$

Researcher Alan Cantor again petitions NHTSA to upgrade its strength requirements for front-seat seatbacks, noting that "seats designed with insufficient rearward seatback strength essentially force parents to unknowingly place children behind a seat whose seatback can collapse rearward during a collision and cause extensive harm to the child in an otherwise protectable collision. Furthermore, there is no warning, for example, to 'Place the child behind the unoccupied seat, if possible' or to 'Place the child behind the lightest weight front occupant, if possible' (since the propensity of a seat to fail in a given rearend collision is directly proportional to the weight of the occupant)." (9/28/15, ARCCA Petition to Amend 49 CFR 571.207, FMVSS 207-Seating Systems)

## $\underline{2015}$

In a detailed report on the collapsing seatback hazard, CBS News warns consumers that, "Even if you bought a car with a five-star safety rating, if you're hit from behind, your seat may not protect you or the children sitting behind you." It notes that, "Experts say in certain crashes, some car seats can break and collapse, leading to paralysis or death."

For the report, CBS hired Alan Cantor, who has filed petitions with NHTSA for upgraded seatback strength, to "test the strength of seats and the standard that regulates them, using a banquet chair. 'What we're trying to do is show how absolutely ridiculous the federal standard is,' said Cantor." With standards so low, "Cantor finds all the vehicle seats - and even that banquet chair - meet or exceed the federal requirements..." The report notes that, "Auto safety regulator NHTSA's own researchers also warned of the issue in 1992, citing examples of 'major or fatal injuries' when seat backs collapse."

The report cites a number of cases in which collapsing front seat backs in rear end crashes killed or injured a child in the rears seat. Among them is the 2010 crash of a new Honda Odyssey rear ended at 55 mph . Sixteen-month-old Taylor Warner was in a car seat behind her father. His seatback collapsed in the impact, striking Taylor in the head and killing her.

In statements to CBS, NHTSA is quoted as justifying its discontinuance of seat strength rulemaking in 2004 as follows: "...the kind of high-impact rear-end crashes that are generally cited as justifying a change are relatively uncommon." It criticizes the 60 Minutes report for contrasting the agency's delay of
action to upgrade seat strength rule with its more timely action to recall faulty Takata airbags. It calls the comparison "apples and hand grenades" which could "discourage" people from "addressing a safety defect that could cost their lives or the life of someone in their family...the Takata comparison is specious and misleading." It does not identify which of the hazard issues, weak seat backs or Takata air bags, it believes is "apples" and which is "hand grenades". (CBS News, October 28, 2015: http://www.cbsnews.com/news/nhtsa-requirements-for-car-crash-tests-inadequate-for-testing-fatalities-from-car-seats/\#article)

## $\underline{2016}$

In an analysis of data for 1990-2014 from NHTSA's Fatal Accident Reporting System, Friedman Research Corp. finds that a total of 898 children ages 0-12 died in rear impacts of 1990 and later modelyear cars during that period. The data exclude crashes in which a rollover or ejection occurred. The involved children were seated behind a front-seat occupant or in a center rear seat.

Attachment B

| Child Fatalities in Rear ImpactsSeated in the Second Row of 1990 and Later Model Year Passenger Vehicles (FARS 1990-2014)(Unejected, Non-rollover, Seated Behind a Front Seat Occupant or in the Center Rear Seat) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accident Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 1991 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1992 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 1993 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |
| 1994 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 1995 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 15 |
| 1996 | 1 | 3 |  | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 25 |
| 1997 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| 1998 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 31 |
| 1999 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 34 |
| 2000 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 41 |
| 2001 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 45 |
| 2002 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 58 |
| 2003 | 14 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 50 |
| 2004 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 66 |
| 2005 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 57 |
| 2006 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 58 |
| 2007 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 47 |
| 2008 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 54 |
| 2009 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 42 |
| 2010 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 40 |
| 2011 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 39 |
| 2012 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 56 |
| 2013 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 44 |
| 2014 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 40 |
| Total | 118 | 102 | 83 | 83 | 80 | 71 | 64 | 54 | 45 | 63 | 46 | 50 | 39 | 898 |



| Front Seat Child Fatalites in Rear Impacts in 1990 and Newer Model Year Passenger Vehicles (1990-2014 FARS) (Unejected, Non-rollover) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accident Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1992 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1993 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| 1994 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |
| 1996 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 1997 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 8 |
| 1998 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 5 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 2001 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 5 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 6 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2009 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 4 |
| 2011 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 2013 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 5 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | 8 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 16 | 96 |



Attachment C - Highlighted Child Seat Back Collapse Cases

| Plaintiff | Lawyer/ Firm | Defendant | Year | Make | Model | Occupant | Date of Crash | Age |  | Seat | Injury |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allen |  <br> Simmons, P.A. | GM | 1994 | Chevrolet | Lumina minivan | Maria Allen | 3/2/1999 | 27 |  | driver | brain |
| Ardi | Monaco and Hirsch \& Hirsch | BMW | 2004 | BMW | X5 | Crocifissa Ardi | 9/11/2013 | 53 |  | driver | quad. |
| Arsenault | J im Gilbert | VW | 2007 | Audi | A6 | William Arsenault | 5/19/2015 | 55 |  | driver | para. |
| Belli | Butler, Wooten | Chrysler | 1991 | J eep | Cherokee | Lynn \& Nicole Belli | 1/26/2001 | 37, 1 yo | Center Rear | LR, CR | burn, fatal |
| Brewster | The Roth Law <br> Firm, P.C. | Hyundai | 2002 | Hyundai | Accent | Dawn Brewster | 1/21/2002 | 31 |  | driver | concussion |
| Bruce | Langdon \& Emison | Ford | 1996 | Ford | Explorer | Nathan Bruce | 6/19/2001 | 9 y.o. | Left Rear | LR | brain injury |
| Bundrick | McCutchen, Blanton, J ohnson \& Barnette | Ford | 1993 | Ford | Escort | Austin Bundrick | 5/4/2002 | child | Right Rear | RR | head, fatal |
| Buongiovanni | Larry Cobin | GM | 1984 | Chevrolet | Chevette | Debra <br> Buongiovanni | 10/2/1989 | adult |  | RF | quad. |
| Carrillo | Bruce Pfaff | Ford | 1991 | Ford | Explorer | Lydia Carrillo | 12/14/1993 | adult |  | driver | para. |
| Cece-York | Tooher, Woel \& Leydon | GM | 1995 | Saturn | SL1 | Denise CeceYork | 7/14/2007 | 57 |  | driver | quad. |
| Clarke | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Russo Russo \& } \\ \text { Slania } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Toyota | 2002 | Toyota | 4Runner | Delroy Clarke | 3/1/2013 | 46 |  | driver | quad. |
| Coleman | Bisnar/ Chase | Nissan | 1989 | Nissan | Sentra | Kevin Coleman | 1/3/2014 | 56 |  | driver | spine fracture |
| Collins | Bisnar/ Chase | Ford | 1994 | Ford | Escort | Crystal Collins | 7/14/2010 | 9 y.o. | Left <br> Rear | LR | chest fatal |
| Copeland | Russell \& Shriver, LLP | Ford | 2002 | Ford | Explorer Sport Trac | Billy Copeland | 11/9/2005 | 52 |  | driver | head fatal |
| Dize | Butler, Wooten | Chrysler | 1996 | Dodge | Caravan | Morgan Dize | 7/10/1999 | 4 y.o. | Left Rear | LR | brain |
| Drummond | Jamess R. Pratt III | GM | 1989 | Chevrolet | Camaro | J ordan Drummond | 8/29/1997 | 2 y.o. | Left Rear | LR | head |
| Estrada | Ammons Law Firm | Ford | 2002 | Ford | Explorer | Mario Estrada | 8/15/2009 | 40 |  | driver | head fatal |


| Flax | Butler Wooten | Chrysler | 1998 | Dodge | Caravan | J oshua Flax | 6/30/2001 | 8 m.o. | Right Rear | RR | head, fatal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freesmeier | Robert J askulski | Chrysler | 2007 | Chrysler | Pacifica | Sophia Freesmeier | 3/8/2009 | 1y.o. | Right Rear | RR | brain |
| George | Langdon \& Emison | Chrysler | 1997 | Plymouth | Neon | Aaliyah George | 10/24/2007 | 2 y.o. | Right Rear | RR | head |
| Gibson | Butler, Wooten | Ford | 1985 | Mercury | Marquis | Anne Gibson | 2/12/1999 | adult |  | driver | burn, fatal |
| Gonzalez | Doug Dilley | Chrysler | 2014 | Dodge | Ram Pickup | Balde Gonzalez | 7/30/2014 | adult |  | RF | burn, fatal |
| Gueffroy | Bisnar/ Chase | VW | 2006 | Audi | A4 | Donald Gueffroy | 12/16/2011 | 72 |  | driver | para. |
| Gutcher | Faulkner Law Offices | Toyota | 1999 | Toyota | Camry | Thomas Gutcher | 5/20/2008 | 50 |  | driver | head injury |
| Hastings | Bisnar/ Chase | Ford | 2002 | Ford | Focus | Eli Hastings | 9/4/2013 | 15 m.o. | Right Rear | RR | head injury |
| Heco | Langdon \& Emison | Chrysler | 2000 | Dodge | Neon | Dzemlia Heco | 8/4/2007 | 45 |  | driver | quad. |
| Hernandez | Shalimar Wallis | Chevrolet | 2011 | Chevrolet | Silverado | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { J acob } \\ \text { Hernandez } \end{array}$ | 6/13/2015 | 8 y.o. | Right Rear | RR | head fatal |
| Huerta | Otto Hasselhof | Honda | 2003 | Honda | Civic | Edna Huerta | 2/12/2014 | 37 |  | driver | para. |
| J anssen | Klein/ Frank PC | Toyota | 2001 | Toyota | Sienna | Suzanne <br> J anssen | 4/14/2008 | 52 |  | driver | quad. |
| Kingsley | Cale Conley | Chrysler | 2003 | Dodge | Caravan | Weston Kingsley | 2/2/2014 | 14 m.o. | Left Rear | LR | fatal |
| Kramer | McEwen Law Firm | Ford | 2005 | Lincoln | Town Car | Kari Sue <br> Kramer | 5/9/2008 | adult |  | driver | para. |
| Laird | Langdon \& Emison | Chrysler | 1997 | J eep | Cherokee | Adam Laird | 5/6/2011 | 27 |  | driver | injury |
| Lyles | Jim Gilbert | Land Rover | 2013 | Land Rover | HSE | Patricia Lyles | 11/19/2014 | 68 |  | driver | para. |
| Magana | Stritmatter <br> Kessler Whelan <br> Withey Coluccio | Hyundai | 1996 | Hyundai | Accent | J esse Magana | 2/15/1997 | 38 |  | driver | para. |
| Massie | J ames Lowe | Chrysler | 2002 | Dodge | Caravan | Geneva Massie | 1/8/2011 | 17 |  | driver | para. |
| McCutchen | Butler, Wooten | GM | 1990 | Cadillac | sedan | Tomme McCutchen | 11/ 12/ 1998 | adult |  | driver | para. |
| Melsha | Hank Didier | GM | 2000 | Chevrolet | Malibu | Bruce Melsha | 11/6/2004 | adult |  | RF | para. |
| Mikolajczyk | Bruce Pfaff | Ford | 1996 | Ford | Escort | J ames <br> Mikolajczyk | 2/4/2000 | adult |  | driver | head fatal |


| Neil | Wooten, Honeywell \& Kest, PC | Dollar/Xler | 2001 | Dodge | Caravan | Emily Neil | 4/16/1998 | 2 y.o. | Left Rear | LR | severe head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newman | Strong \& Associates | Ford | 1988 | Ford | Aerostar | Deborah Newman | 5/26/1993 | adult |  | driver | para. |
| Olvera | Lance Cooper | Mazda | 2004 | Mazda | Mazda6 | Liliana Moreno | 9/30/2012 | 6 y.o. | Left Rear | LR | fatal |
| Paiz | Leon Russell | GM | 1991 | Chevrolet | Camaro | Marivel Paiz | 9/25/1991 | 30 |  | driver | quad. |
| Portis | Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis \& Miles, PC | Hughes | 2013 | Kia | Optima | Mary Portis | 2/12/2014 | 71 |  | driver | vegetative |
| Potter | Strong Law Firm | Ford | 1997 | Ford | Escort | Betty Potter | 9/26/2002 | adult |  | driver | para. |
| Pounders | Rutledge \& Davis | GM | 1999 | Chevrolet | Blazer | Mathew Pounders | 6/16/2003 | 2 y.o. | Left <br> Rear | LR | head fatal |
| Reed | Payne Mitchell Law Group | Chrysler | 2006 | J eep | Liberty | Chantae \& Danny Reed | 1/11/2014 | 2 adults |  | dr. \&RF | burn, fatal |
| Rivera | Wiggington Rumley | Audi | 2005 | Audi | Quattro | J esse Rivera, J r. | 12/ 18/2012 | 7 y.o. | Left <br> Rear | LR | injured |
| Romine | Bisnar/ Chase | Nissan | 2000 | Nissan | Frontier | J aklin Romine | 10/21/2006 | 21 |  | driver | para. |
| Rothering | Gerald M. <br> Richman.PA | Chrysler | 2004 | Dodge | Caravan | Parker <br> Rothering | 10/3/2004 | child | Right Rear | RR | fatal |
| Sales | Pat Ardis | Chevrolet | 2012 | Chevrolet | Malibu | Maxximus Sales | 4/16/2014 | 4 y.o. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Left } \\ \text { Rear } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LR | head fatal |
| Shertz | Bruce Pfaff | GM | 2000 | Chevrolet | Cavalier | Zachary Schertz | 11/10/2006 | 27 |  | driver | head fatal |
| Spey | Jim Gilbert | GM | 1999 | Saturn | S Series | Nathaniel Spey | 12/ 14/2009 | 2 y.o. | Left <br> Rear | LR | brain |
| Stebler | Larry Cobin | Hyundai | 2000 | Hyundai | Tiburon | Rikki Fleming | 6/20/2012 | 18 y.o. |  | driver | fatal |
| Stender | Richard Turbin | Ford | 1984 | Ford | Tempo | Terri-Lynn Stender | 3/22/1992 | adult |  | RF | quad. |
| Stowers | Bordas \& Bordas | GM | 1996 | Chevrolet | Cavalier | Ryan Stowers | 7/9/2001 | 3 y.o. |  | LR | severe head |
| Sutton | Leon Russell | Nissan | 1995 | Nissan | pickup | Carolyn Sutton | 10/12/1997 | adult |  | driver | fatal |
| Turney | Russell \& Shriver, LLP | Kia | 2000 | Kia | Spectra | Marsha Turney | 3/17/2007 | 51 |  | driver | fatal |
| Wall | Bisnar/ Chase | Toyota | 2004 | Toyota | Highlander | Linda Wall | 12/19/2013 | 68 |  | driver | fatal |


| Warner | Jim Gilbert | Honda | 2010 | Honda | Odyssey | Taylor Warner | 11/13/2010 | 16 m.o. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Left } \\ \text { Rear } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LR | fatal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Watson | Ammons Law Firm | Kia | 2007 | Kia | Rio | Christine Watson | 12/16/2007 | 44 |  | driver | quad. |
| Williams | Guajardo \& Marks | Ford | 2015 | Ford | F-250 | Makree Williams | 1/10/2015 | 1 y .0. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Left } \\ \text { Rear } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LR | para. |
| Woody | Butler, Wooten, Overby, Cheely, Pearson \& Fryhofer | GM | 1989 | Chevrolet | Camaro | Medina Woody | 4/3/1995 | adult |  | driver | quad. |
| Zapatero | Robinson, Calcagnie | GM | 2012 | Chevrolet | Malibu | Luis Zapatero | 6/1/2013 | 49 |  | driver | para. |
| Childs | Robertson, McDonnell \& Mannix | GM | 1986 | Pontiac | LeMans | Debra Childs | 10/22/1992 | adult |  | RF | Head |

